

## JOINT DECLARATION FOR PATENT APPLICATION AND POWER OF ATTORNEY

As the below named inventors, we hereby declare that:

Our residences, post office addresses and citizenship are as stated below next to our names;

We believe that we are the original, first and joint inventors of the subject matter which is claimed and for which a patent is sought on the invention entitled **SYSTEM AND METHOD FOR AUTOMATED DATABASE ASSISTANCE TO FINANCIAL SERVICE OPERATORS**, the specification of which

☒ is attached hereto.  
☐ was filed on \_\_\_\_\_ as Application Serial Number \_\_\_\_\_ and was  
 amended on \_\_\_\_\_  
 (if applicable)

We hereby state that we have reviewed and understand the contents of the above identified specification, including the claims, as amended by any amendment referred to in this declaration.

We acknowledge the duty to disclose all information known to us to be material to the patentability of this application, as defined in 37 C.F.R. § 1.56.

We acknowledge the duty to disclose to the Office all information known to us to be material to patentability as defined in § 1.56, which became available between the filing date of the prior application and the national or PCT international filing date of the continuation-in-part application.

### Prior Foreign Application(s)

We hereby claim foreign priority benefits under Title 35, United States Code, § 119 of any foreign application(s) for patent or inventor's certificate listed below and have also identified below any foreign application(s) for patent or inventor's certificate having a filing date before that of the application on which priority is claimed:

Country	Application Number	Date of Filing (day, month, year)	Date of Issue (day, month, year)	Priority Claimed Under 35 U.S.C. 119
				Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/>
				Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/>

### Prior United States Provisional Application(s)

I hereby claim the benefit under Title 35, United States Code, § 119(e) of any United States provisional application(s) listed below

Application Serial Number	Date of Filing (day, month, year)

### Prior United States Application(s)

We hereby claim the benefit under Title 35, United States Code, § 120 of any United States application(s) listed below and, insofar as the subject matter of each of the claims of this application is not disclosed in the prior United States application in the manner provided by the first paragraph of Title 35, United States Code, § 112, we acknowledge the duty to disclose material information as defined in Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations, § 1.56(a) which occurred between the filing date of the prior application and the national or PCT international filing date of this application:

Applicati n S rial Number	Dat f Filing (day, m nth, year)	Status - Patented, Pending, Aband ned

And we hereby appoint, both jointly and severally, as our attorneys with full power of substitution and revocation, to prosecute this application and to transact all business in the Patent and Trademark Office connected herewith the following attorneys, their registration numbers being listed after their names:

Thomas J. Scott, Jr., Registration No. 27,836; Stanislaus Aksman, Registration No. 28,562; James G. Gatto, Registration No. 32,694; Christopher C. Campbell, Registration No. 37,291;; Henry C. Su, Registration No. 37,738; Brian M. Buroker, Registration No. 39,125; Charles F. Hollis, Registration No. 40,650; Jonathan D. Link, Registration No. 41,548; Kevin T. Duncan, Registration No. 41,495; George Georgellis, Registration No. 43,632; Christopher J. Cuneo, Registration No. 42,450; Raphael A. Valencia, Registration No. 43,216; Scott D. Balderston, Registration No. 35,436; Steven P. Klocinski, Registration No. 39,251; Yisun Song, Registration No. 44,487; Jennifer A. Albert, Registration No. 32,012; Kerry Owens, Registration No. 37,412; Devin S. Morgan, Registration No. 45,562; Andrew J. Ririe, Registration No. 45,597; Carl Benson, Registration No. 38,378; Thomas E. Anderson, Registration No. 37,063; Thomas Blasey, Registration No. 33,475; Robin Clark, Registration No. 40,956; René Vazquez, Registration No. 38,647; Stuart I. Smith, Registration No. 42,159; Ozzie Farres, Registration No. 43,606; David H. Milligan, Registration No. 42,893; Herbert V. Kerner, Registration No. 42,721; Scott F. Yarnell, Registration No. 45,245 and David M. Huntley, Registration No. 40,309.

All correspondence and telephone communications should be addressed to Hunton & Williams, 1900 K Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20006-1109, telephone number (202) 955-1500, which is also the address and telephone number of each of the above listed attorneys.

We hereby declare that all statements made herein of our own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issuing thereon.

Signature	_____			Date	_____
Full Name of					
First Inventor	HORA	Rajesh			
	Family Name	First Given Name		Second Given Name	
Residence	Dublin, OH				
Citizenship					
Post Office					
Address	6239 Inverurie Drive W. Dublin, OH 43017				

Date \_\_\_\_\_

Second Inventor Dommer  
Family Name

Lisa  
First Given Name

Maria  
Second Given Name

Residence Reynoldsburg, OH

## Citizenship

## Post Office

Address 8947 Trinity Circle, Reynoldsburg, OH 43068

**HUNTON & WILLIAMS**  
1900 K Street, N.W., Suite 1200  
Washington, D.C. 20006-1109  
Tel: (202) 955-1500  
Fax.: (202) 778-2201

Figure 1. The effect of the number of trials on the number of correct responses. The number of correct responses was significantly higher for the 10 trials condition than for the 5 trials condition. The number of correct responses was significantly higher for the 10 trials condition than for the 20 trials condition. The number of correct responses was significantly higher for the 10 trials condition than for the 30 trials condition. The number of correct responses was significantly higher for the 10 trials condition than for the 40 trials condition. The number of correct responses was significantly higher for the 10 trials condition than for the 50 trials condition. The number of correct responses was significantly higher for the 10 trials condition than for the 60 trials condition. The number of correct responses was significantly higher for the 10 trials condition than for the 70 trials condition. The number of correct responses was significantly higher for the 10 trials condition than for the 80 trials condition. The number of correct responses was significantly higher for the 10 trials condition than for the 90 trials condition. The number of correct responses was significantly higher for the 10 trials condition than for the 100 trials condition.